	HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
6	AT SEATTLE	
7	VIVENDI S.A.,) NO. CV6-1524 JLR
8	Plaintiff,) NO. C V 0-1324 JER
9	v.) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL) DISCOVERY
10	T-MOBILE USA, INC., T-MOBILE) DISCOVERT
11	DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, T-MOBILE INTERNATIONAL AG, DEUTSCHE TELEKOM) NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
12	AG, AND ZYGMUNT SOLORZ-ZAK, Defendants.	07/27/07
13	Defendants.	Ś
14	I. INTRODUCTION	
15	Pursuant to this Court's April 18, 2007 order, Vivendi had expected that the Deutsche	
16 17	Telekom defendants ("DT") would produce all responsive documents relating to any	
18	communication between T-Mobile USA and any other defendant concerning the acquisition of	
19	PTC, Vivendi, and Solorz, including such documents from the files of those DT individuals who	
20	served both as directors of T-Mobile, USA Inc., and employees of the German DT defendants.	
21	However, in their response, DT objected to the inclusion of directors in the definition of T-	
22 23	Mobile USA and instead defined it to include only its "officers and employees." Vivendi	
24	contends that DT's restriction improperly alters the requests approved by the Court, which	
25	included in its definition of T-Mobile USA "all of its present and former directors." In	
	subsequent discussions and letters, DT's counsel confirmed that it did not consider T-Mobile	

ROHDE & VAN KAMPEN PLLC 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4050 Seattle, Washington 98154-1000 (206) 386-7353

USA directors in its definition of T-Mobile USA. DT stated that it would not produce documents that were exchanged between individuals that it asserts are only German employees and not within the definition of T-Mobile "simply because certain of those German employees also happen to have been members of the T-Mobile USA Board." (DT Letter dated June 27, 2007 attached as Exhibit A)

Vivendi contends that DT's position too narrowly restricts the discovery ordered by the Court. Because the *forum non conveniens* requires analysis of the local interest in the controversy, *Gulf Oil v. Gilbert*, 330 U. S. 501, 508-09 (1947); *Tuazon v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*, 433 F.3d 1163, 1182 (9th Cir. 2006), Vivendi believes it is entitled to any documents relating to communications involving the acquisition of PTC, Vivendi, or Solorz exchanged with other DT defendants by DT individuals who served as T-Mobile USA directors and thus represented the interests of that Washington-based company. Counsels for the parties have been unable to resolve this disagreement. Accordingly, Vivendi has filed this motion to compel seeking the Court's guidance.

II. FACTS

Vivendi has identified at least two DT officials who also served during the period of this controversy on the T-Mobile USA Board of Directors. Rene Obermann, the current CEO and Chair of the Board of Management of DT, has been a director on the T-Mobile USA Board of Directors since January 2003, and is now the Chairman of T-Mobile USA. Kai-Uwe Ricke, also served as Chairman of DT's Board of Management and served on the T-Mobile USA Board from May 2001 through November 2006.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

2324

25

III. ARGU<u>MENT</u>

Vivendi's discovery requests seeks information relevant to its claims, including information that appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence relevant to the forum non conveniens issue. Under well-settled corporate law, individuals such as Obermann and Ricke who hold dual corporate responsibilities in a parent-subsidiary situation owe each corporation a coextensive duty of loyalty, Weinberger v. UOP. Inc., 457 A.2d 701, 710 (Del.1983) and they could be expected to communicate directly and with others in the company about issues relevant to both corporations from time to time. Clearly, DT's takeover of PTC, a \$2.5 billion wireless company that has subsequently been integrated into the T-Mobile wireless network, is material to T-Mobile USA's business. Nevertheless, DT has decided that because Obermann and Ricke are both German employees of DT who only happen to have been members of the T-Mobile USA board, it will not produce documents relating to communications between them in Germany, even if such documents discussed DT's acquisition of PTC with the assistance of Solorz by deceiving Vivendi. More concretely, DT's view of its discovery obligations would exclude direct communications from Obermann to Ricke stating that Obermann was traveling to Seattle to discuss the integration of the PTC company into the T-Mobile global network with Robert Dotson, president and CEO of T-Mobile USA, who also serves on the board of another defendant, T-Mobile International AG. At this stage in the litigation, DT should not be permitted to withhold such communications among other defendants and directors who serve dual roles for defendant companies in both the United States and Europe. Such information may be relevant to deciding the effects this controversy has on identifiable Washington State and U. S. interests.

> ROHDE & VAN KAMPEN PLLC 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4050 Seattle, Washington 98154-1000 (206) 386-7353

Moreover, Vivendi's request would not be burdensome to DT because DT has already stated that "employees of T-Mobile USA and the German DT Defendants who served as T-Mobile USA board members were not excluded from the DT Defendants' search for responsive documents" (see Exhibit A) and this suggests that DT may already have identified documents that would be responsive to Vivendi's request, absent DT's restrictive interpretation. Accordingly, Vivendi request that the Court permit it access to such discovery that may be relevant to the forum non conveniens issue and reject DT's attempt to circumscribe its Rule 26 obligations.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Vivendi respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order substantially in the form attached hereto and grant such other and further relief as may be just.

Dated this 11th day of July 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

Of Counsel

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP ROHDE & VAN KAMPEN, PLLC

s/ Robert E Rohde s/ Garret Rasmussen Robert E. Rohde, WSBA # 12809 Garret Rasmussen 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4050 Washington Harbor Seattle, WA 98154-1000 3050 K Street, Northwest 206-386-7353 Washington D.C. 20007-5135 Attorneys for Plaintiff Vivendi S.A., and Vivendi Attorneys for Plaintiff Vivendi S.A., and Vivendi Holding I. Corp. Holding I. Corp.

25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July11, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notifications of such filing to The Honorable James L. Robart, and serve it on all associated counsel.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 11th day of July, 2007 at Seattle, Washington.

s/Robert E. Rohde
Robert E. Rohde, WSBA # 12809
1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4050
Seattle, WA 98154-1000
206-386-7353
Attorneys for Plaintiff Vivendi S.A., and Vivendi Holding I. Corp.